

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Zoology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRIZ_122869</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Ecological Role of spiders in Terrestrial Ecosystem and Their Conservation Priorities.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript needs major modifications. There is several sentences need citation, mainly in introduction portion. In the paragraph 3 of Result and Discussion portion is not proper scientific writing. This portion needs major revision and improvements.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Last two sentences may be deleted. At last should add some sentences regarding finding.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Needs slight improvement	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Discussion portion should be scientific style of writing not like a linguistic style of writing. Author should try to justify the title as well as try to place the spider in different tropic level of ecosystem.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not sufficient, Author try to add some other references	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, Suitable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Subrat Kumar Panigrahi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Maa Manikeshwari University, India</b>