

[Review Form 3](#)

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_125147
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINANTS OF CLOUD COMPUTING ADOPTION AMONGST SMES IN THE UK TECHNOLOGY SECTOR.
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b>	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This research sheds light on the critical factors influencing cloud computing adoption among SMEs in the UK's technology sector, highlighting organizational support as the strongest determinant. It provides actionable insights for SMEs, cloud providers, policymakers, and industry bodies to enhance cloud migration strategies. Ultimately, understanding these determinants can drive more effective adoption, fostering innovation and competitiveness in the technology sector.</p> <p>For research to be impactful and relevant, it is imperative that the literature cited is predominantly within a five-year window from the date of creation and intended publication. Utilizing outdated sources can undermine the study's validity and reduce its contribution to the current body of knowledge. Adhering to contemporary literature ensures alignment with the latest findings, methodologies, and industry trends, thereby enhancing the research's credibility and applicability.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title is okay and suitable</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>I'm fine with the abstract provided by the researcher</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, the subsection is appropriate unless the journal provides a specific required section that cannot be found in the paper</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The research aim, methodology, and key findings, making it clear and informative. Grounding the study in the Technology-Organization-Environment (TOE) framework provides a solid theoretical basis, which is appropriate for examining technology adoption factors. The description of the quantitative methodology, including surveying 102 SMEs, adds credibility to the research process. However, for maximum relevance and impact, it is crucial that the literature and data used are up-to-date, typically within the last five years, to ensure the findings contribute meaningfully to the current body of knowledge.</p> <p>For maximum relevance and impact, it is crucial that the literature and data used are up-to-date, typically within the last five years, to ensure the findings contribute meaningfully to the current body of knowledge.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Please update the references</p>	

**Review Form 3**

<b>Minor REVISION comments</b>  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General comments</b>	<b>Update the literatures</b>  Maintaining updated cited literature is crucial for ensuring the relevance and accuracy of research findings. Utilizing current sources allows researchers to build upon the most recent advancements and methodologies in their field, enhancing the credibility of their work. It ensures alignment with contemporary trends and emerging issues, making the research more applicable and impactful. Moreover, it demonstrates a thorough and ongoing engagement with the subject matter, which is essential for contributing meaningfully to the existing body of knowledge.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Joel E. Asuncion</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Asian College of Technology International Educational Foundation, Philippines</b>