

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresource Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJB2T_126742
Title of the Manuscript:	Inhibition of Escherichia coli cell-free $\beta$ -galactosidase activity by binary mixtures of water-miscible solvents
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1.The manuscript titled "Inhibition of Escherichia coli cell-free $\beta$ -galactosidase activity by binary mixtures of water-miscible solvents" is a well-written, interesting, and scientifically merit work under the special issue of biotechnology area based on the permeabilization of <i>Escherichia coli</i> cells for <i>in-situ</i> $\beta$ -galactosidase activity assay. 2.Overall, I believe this manuscript has the potential to be published in Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresource Technology. 3. Therefore, it is proposed that this manuscript be accepted for publication in this journal after minor revision.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Readers are encouraged to suggest that authors use the most concise and targeted title possible.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, it is brief, succinct, and highly direct.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, it is brief, succinct, and highly direct.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1.The content of this manuscript aims to introduce functional and beneficial microorganisms for application use in the future as food. 2.Choosing the appropriate research methodology for the manuscript's structure is crucial. 3.Most English usage is grammatically correct. 4.This manuscript still lacks some crucial details that are necessary for referring to the experiments used.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The reference list is current and updated.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	-Most English usage is grammatically correct but it is recommended to check the spelling. -Authors should clearly and straightforwardly state their purpose in the abstract and introduction sections of the content. -Some experimental methods require additional references. - Authors should check that the scientific units used in the content are formatted in accordance with the journal's guidelines and consistent throughout the text.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	-Authors should re-check the journal format. -Carefully verify the accuracy of the content.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Srisan Phupaboon</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Khon Kaen University, Thailand</b>