

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_126596
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Determination of different dimensions of Student Creativity Development Programme at UPA PKK Gorontalo State University, Indonesia</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This article is good for the scientific community today because of the importance of emphasizing empathy, responsiveness, and insurance in social and social life. If we look at modern social life today, it has begun to become extinct with a sense of empathy, mutual respect, and excellent service. Therefore, with this article, it can be seen that there is still a need for improvement and strategy in creating empathy, good appearance towards others, excellent service, and responsiveness.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>Yes, the title is appropriate</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>In the abstract part, it is not clear who is the source of primary and secondary data. It is recommended to add the data source</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is appropriate</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>On several indicators that have been determined, this article has been scientifically supported by quantitative data. But because the method used is qualitative, the data sources used should be listed in detail and there are the results of the interviews that have been conducted.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>The reference sources used are up-to-date and in accordance with the provisions of the journal.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language used is appropriate and easy for readers to understand</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>Please correct again in the methodology section, please clearly list the specific data source that is used by anyone or anything so that the results section will be in sync with the method used.</b></p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Wahyu Eka Priana Sukmawaty</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>AKK Yogyakarta Social Welfare Academy, Indonesia</b>