

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_126173
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Gender-Inclusive Practices and Gender-Based Employment Opportunities on Efficient and Effective Solid Waste Management: Effects on Household Economic Outcomes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The gender inequalities and norms are embedded in almost every aspect of waste management. In waste management women are pushed to do jobs like waste picking, sweeping, and waste separation. Mainstreaming gender in the waste sector and strengthening the participation of women in the waste sector can lead to more efficient and effective waste management operations which assist to attain the Sustainable Development Goal 6 and empower women to handle conventional gender roles that promote gender equality in solid waste management.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Title of the article is suitable but it should be in concise and precise. The title can be written as "<i>The Impact of Gender-Inclusive Practices on Solid Waste Management in Household Economic</i>"</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>NO</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In 2.1 The thesis was conducted using three....., has to be written as the study was conducted using three..... 2. In 2.2.1.1 These stakeholder consultations helped identify....., has to written as These stakeholder consultations helped to identify..... 3. There is no gender disaggregate data in the age and marital status, this has to be included in the table 1 4. Like wise, in the table 2,& 3 the gender disaggregate data on educational, occupation, years in occupation and average income has to included, so that the study can compare the impact of educational, occupation, years of occupation and average income of gender on in solid waste management. 5. In table inferences the respondents percentage should be round off. For eg. 52.5% has to be written as 53% 6. Since, the study found the gender inclusive policies improved the efficiency and effectiveness in solid waste management, it can' t be generalized hence, some suggestions on effective gender inclusive policies in solid waste management can be recommended by the author 7. 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>No</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Nil</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Sindhuja
Department, University & Country	Alagappa University, India