

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_126173
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Gender-Inclusive Practices and Gender-Based Employment Opportunities on Efficient and Effective Solid Waste Management: Effects on Household Economic Outcomes
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is important to the scientific community for several reasons.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. The rate of global population growth which is directly linked to the generation of waste is gradually becoming a pandemic in many parts of the world. It is this important for stakeholders to find alternative ways of addressing this challenge. This paper looks at some of the positive contributions waste generation can bring to our society if the right things are done. 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Title is appropriate</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of the article is enough. Author(s) needs to address the comments made in the document regarding the target population</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Introduction: This section is not adequate. The author(s) need to build a stronger foundation for the article by improving on the introduction section. There is the need for a critical appraisal of what pertains in existing literature on related issues.</p> <p>Problem statement: The statement of the problem for the article is not clearly stated. There are a few references to a problem in the introduction but it will be academically acceptable to clearly state the problem.</p> <p>Objectives: These need to be clearly stated</p> <p>Literature Review There is no form of literature review in the current article. This has been completely omitted. It is important to include a section on literature</p> <p>Theoretical framework Although this may not apply in all instances, academic research requires a theoretical basis on which the research is hinged and on which sound arguments may be made and conclusions drawn. The author(s) may consider including a theory.</p> <p>Conceptual framework The author(s) may consider the use of an appropriate framework which describes and explains the concepts being studied in this research</p> <p>Results, findings and conclusion This section should be discussed in line with the literature review. Currently this section has no form of analysis of the study objectives.</p> <p>Conclusions This section should be re-written based on the results, findings and conclusions.</p>	

Review Form 3

<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The paper needs to be worked on to improve its robustness. It lacks adequate supporting literature. The author(s) needs to improve on information on sampling procedures, population, and analysis</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>References are not sufficient. There is more room for improvement However, most of the references are recent.</p>	
<p><u>Minor REVISION</u> comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There is the need to improve on the grammar in the article for the purposes of scholarly communication. Author(s) may consider employing the services of independent proof readers.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Monica Ofoosu-Koranteng</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>College of Distance Education, University of Cape Coast, Ghana</p>