

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_126930
Title of the Manuscript:	ULTRASONOGRAPHIC MAPPING OF THE LOCATION AND DEPTH OF LABIAL ARTERIES PRIOR TO LIP AUGMENTATION: A PILOT STUDY
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper offers a new viewpoint on an issue that was previously poorly understood and presents a novel approach to exploring the subject. As such, it is relevant to the scientific community. The thorough approach and solid data analysis offer compelling proof of the suggested processes, making it an important addition to the area. Even though the results might need more confirmation,	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is suitable because it describes about the main points of article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, because all the necessary points like aims, study design, place and duration, methodology, results and conclusions, are included	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the manuscript is appropriate and included all the necessary subsections.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper presents a well-designed study with a adequate technique, and solid data analysis, exhibiting great scientific rigor. The findings are more credible because the authors provide a thorough description of their experimental methods, Furthermore; the discussion part demonstrates a deep comprehension of the topic and a dedication to scientific truth by carefully interpreting the findings in regard to the current literature, and suggesting possible future study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are recent and sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Except that some grammatical mistakes the language quality seems appropriate for scientific communications.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Namita Bhardwaj
Department, University & Country	Dr. C.V. Raman University, India