

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_126196
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review On Waste Water Treatment Using Electrocoagulation for Heavy Metals
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>In terms of the "importance" of this manuscript, I currently give it a low score. The content is currently a basic review of the electrocoagulation process, a section about its importance, the detection of heavy metals, and treating microplastics. The manuscript currently is not really a review article for the treatment of wastewater using electrocoagulation for heavy metals.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p><u>The title is absolutely not suitable.</u> Currently, for this specific manuscript, a better title would be "What is electrocoagulation, and some mention of microplastics, and a paragraph about the detection of heavy metals"</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract needs to be re-written after the manuscript is <u>significantly</u> improved.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Absolutely not, the structure seems random with minimal coherence.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript usually mentions correct concepts in terms of electrocoagulation; but the flow need to be improved significantly.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p><u>The references are not bad. a focus on the last 5 years is advised since there are dozens of articles pertaining to heavy metals and electrocoagulation.</u></p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is currently not a major issue in this manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The authors need a systematically change/improve this manuscript to better fit the title. I advise that they check review articles in the literature to better understand the flow and content of a review article. Then they can design the sections of their article and what they want to include.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussam Faraj
Department, University & Country	University of Jordan, Jordan