

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_120408
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOLOGICAL MANAGEMENT OF COMMON SCAB (STREPTOMYCES SCABIEI) OF POTATO
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Not enough</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Title: Change: BIOLOGICAL MANAGEMENT OF COMMON SCAB (STREPTOMYCES SCABIEI) OF POTATO To: BIOLOGICAL MANAGEMENT OF COMMON SCAB (STREPTOMYCES SCABIES) OF POTATO</p> <p>Abstract: Change: carried out in 2019–20 to 2021–22... To ... carried out from 2019–20 to 2021–22</p> <p>Introduction Change: It disseminates through tuber and become soil borne. ... To.... It disseminates through the tuber and becomes soil-borne.</p> <p>Change: The disease cause superficial, ... To The disease causes superficial,</p> <p>Change: common scab of potato... To ... common scabs of potatoes.</p> <p>Change: (Now a days) , (Key word)To ...(Nowadays) , (Keyword)</p>	

Review Form 3

	<p>Change: awareness increased toward ... To ... awareness increases toward</p> <p>Change: various biological formulation .. To ... various biological formulations</p> <p>MATERIALS AND METHODS</p> <p>Change: The site of experiment and various treatment was fix To ... The site of the experiment and various treatments was fixed</p> <p>Change: for three consecutive year... To ...for three consecutive years</p> <p>Change: five quadrate....To ...five quadrates</p> <p>Change in each paragraph: incubate for a week ... To incubated for a week</p> <p>Change: the solution were sprayed ... To ... the solution was sprayed</p> <p>Results and Discussions</p> <p>Change: In the both year... To In both years,</p> <p>Change in each paragraph: The next best treatment in sequence were T2....To The next best treatment in sequence was T2</p> <p>Change in each paragraph: The effect of treatments were found. ... To The effect of treatments was found.</p> <p>Change in each paragraph: The minimum disease incidence and severity (21.25% & 28%) was recorded,... To The minimum disease incidence and severity (21.25% & 28%) were recorded</p> <p>Change: Conclusion.. To Conclusion</p>
--	---

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abd El-Rahman Abd El-Raouf Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Agricultural Research Center, Egypt