

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_120817</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>SEASONAL ABUNDANCE OF THRIPS IN RABI ONION UNDER SOUTHERN TELANGANA CLIMATIC CONDITIONS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research paper focus on seasonal incidence of the onion thrips in rabi, it is important aspect of integrated pest management i.e. first requirement is to understand the population fluctuation of particular insect than to identify the weak point and further to formulate the management practices accordingly.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	NO, Revised title suggested in the manuscript	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, but required minor corrections	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, but required minor revision	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound but few minor mistakes are present which needs to be rectify.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. =	Yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Manuscript can be accepted after minor corrections	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>N. P. Pathan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, India</b>