

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_120539</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Influence of different pre-sowing treatments on seed germination and seedling characteristics of Bitter Gourd (<i>Momordica charantia</i> L.), Bottle Gourd (<i>Lagenaria siceraria</i>) and Ridge Gourd (<i>Luffa acutangula</i>)</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Overall manuscript is very good and suitable topic and methodology	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The topic of the manuscript is good and suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Don,t italicize Zaid in abstract and manuscript	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	structure of the manuscript is very good	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Overall manuscript structure and layout is good and appropriate	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Add latest refernces in introduction and add one more latest related work in introduction	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of the article is suitable	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ali Zeeshan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences Lahore, Pakistan</b>