

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_120097
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of Polyphenols, Reducing Potential and FT-IR Analysis of Green Tea (<i>Camellia sinensis</i> L.) Leaves Extract for Nanoparticles Synthesis
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Many grammatical errors observed all over the article.2. Introduction section needs more referencing, every statement given need referencing.3. Material section is missing, list of chemicals used and the grades are need to be added.4. Why the reference number and author name given, only number is enough, it is inconsistent.5. Chemical information and grades information are missing.6. Sample preparation is not clear.7. Different font size is observed8. Referencing is not consistent, check reference 25 and 39.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Abstracts need to be rewritten; no key information is given about the work performed.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	The manuscript written in a scientific way.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Referencing section need to be revised	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.8](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gunasekar Manoharan
Department, University & Country	USA