

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_120926
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutrient Management in Maize+Cowpea Intercropping System : A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript addressed current issues of sustainable agriculture, which is most thing that I like. However, the author can improve the quality of the manuscript by adding some points suggest in the manuscript. Overall, it's a good manuscript.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes but the + must be change to and/with	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Better if you use appropriate words for clarity, Herbicide might be enough as it has a negative impact on the environment and change growth, yield and yield attributing characters to crop performance.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound as it raise the current issue of sustainable agriculture and review appropriate literature. It also addressed its objective properly and conclude the review as per the objective.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Its sufficient.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but it needs include all suggestion provided in the comment box. Must define abbreviations too.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Commented [GF1]: On crop performance

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Girma Wolde Feleke
Department, University & Country	Colorado State University, USA