

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_121040
Title of the Manuscript:	E-cigarettes Use Among People Living with HIV: Prevalence, Health Impacts, and Behavioral Insights
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>E-cigarettes Use Among People Living with HIV: Prevalence, Health Impacts, and Behavioral Insights.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Clarity and Structure: The paper is generally well-structured, . It's important to clearly state how the study contributes to the existing literature on both e-cigarette use and its specific impacts on individuals living with HIV. 2. Methodological approach Providing information on sample size justification and statistical methods employed data finding is correct. 3. Discussion and Conclusion. Exploring reasons for e-cigarette use among PLWH beyond nicotine dependence, such as stress management or social factors, would enrich the interpretation of results. 4. Language and Presentation. The writing is generally clear. These comments aim to provide constructive feedback to strengthen the research paper. Go ahead to publish the paper. Best wishes. 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>		
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>		
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>		
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>		

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sajad Ahmad Malik
Department, University & Country	Bhai Gurdas Group of Institutions, India