

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_121040
Title of the Manuscript:	E-cigarettes Use Among People Living with HIV: Prevalence, Health Impacts, and Behavioral Insights
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Overall, I commend this write-up. This text guides the reader in identifying the variables that will be examined. This study has a distinct deficiency together with a well-defined aim. Undoubtedly, the utilisation of e-cigarettes is a relatively new area of study that requires further investigation, particularly in relation to the PLHIV population.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the study represents the aim or goal of the researcher.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The researcher's abstract demonstrates a comprehensive comprehension of the offered research.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Subsections that have been written. Nevertheless, certain subsections necessitate a more comprehensive report. For instance, under the part dedicated to study findings, the researcher may opt to present the diversity and comparison of results through the use of a table.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The researcher mostly utilised the data gathered from the study's title. The variables to be elucidated were accurately documented. The approach employed adequately fulfils the requirements of the study. The researcher highlighted the methodologies employed to collect the requisite data. However, it is recommended that researchers provide a more comprehensive explanation of the data findings. This includes providing more detailed information on the prevalence of e-cigarette use, demographic factors influencing usage, patterns of e-cigarette use or dual use, and the specific effects of e-cigarette use on mental well-being and among people living with HIV.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -</p>	<p>The researcher's past studies are current. Well done.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are no issues associated with utilising language or making modifications to the language employed. Nevertheless, it is recommended that the writing be translated with greater sensitivity, taking into account previous discoveries. For instance, within the specific area of the discussion, the researcher can utilise the results of other studies to bolster the writing or arguments of their own findings.	
Optional/General comments	The researcher's title is impeccable, given the study's significant potential. Currently, the utilisation of e-cigarettes is a novel approach, however, the researcher has specifically selected those living with HIV (PLHIV) as the sample group to be applauded. The researcher has the ability to reorganise the results obtained from prior investigations as necessary within the technique. However, if you are agreeable to it, it is advisable for the researcher to have the capability to thoroughly emphasise the data acquired. The acquired data can be utilised to articulate viewpoints regarding the subject under debate. The researcher effectively elucidated the conversation; however, it is recommended that each debate be fortified by using the acquired facts.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asraf Johar Bin Salleh
Department, University & Country	Malaysia