

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_120644</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Influence of various edible oil coatings on the shelf life of Cape gooseberry (<i>Physalis peruviana</i> L.)</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- A good manuscript structure discusses an essential field in order to discover a new therapy.</li> <li>- Made a pretty fantastic result while expiring on this manuscript.</li> <li>- As a scientific community, we may use the results of these manuscripts to increase the shelf life of other therapies.</li> </ul>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is attractive and accurately summarises the full study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, the abstract in the proper form, background, material and method, result and conclusion.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, as I recommended in my reviewed copy, it just needs minor changes.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-They performed twelve different experiments and showed good outcomes to improve the study point.</li> <li>-The final outcome will help to improve a number of associated problems and increase herbal-based medicine.</li> <li>- They created their fresh expirations, ideas, and approved it as correct.</li> </ul>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  =	No, in several references on manuscripts, they write Harverd and Vancouver references combined, which must be corrected.	

**Review Form 3**

Minor REVISION comments  <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	- Correct any grammer problems as mentioned in my reviewd copy. -	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zaki E. A</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Napata College, Sudan</b>