

# PERFORMANCE OF NEW BIVOLTINE SILKWORM BREEDS AND HYBRIDS FOR ECONOMIC TRAITS

## ABSTRACT

An attempt was made to assess the potentiality of new bivoltine races along with their hybrids to determine the best performing hybrid. Five thermotolerant pure breeds (B1, B2, B4, B6 and B8) and four popular CSR breeds (CSR2, CSR27, CSR6, and CSR26) were utilized to develop six foundation crosses and eight double hybrids. All these breeds and hybrids were evaluated for their performance considering eight important economic traits. The rearing results showed that, among parental races, B4 performed better for four out of seven traits viz, single cocoon weight (1.69 g), cocoon yield by weight/10000 larvae (16.42 kg), filament length (1347.14 m) and filament weight (0.31 g). CSR27 recorded significantly highest shell weight (0.34 g), Shell ratio (22.18 %) and denier (2.49). In Foundation crosses, B1 × B4 recorded highest single cocoon weight (1.81 g), cocoon yield by weight/10000 larvae (17.98 Kg), filament length (1319.06 m) and filament weight (0.33 g). FC2 exhibited significantly superior performance in shell weight (0.38 g), Shell ratio (22.33 %) and denier (2.45). Within the double hybrids, (B1 × B4) × (FC1) recorded significantly highest single cocoon weight (2.67 g), cocoon yield by weight/10000 larvae (25.87 kg), filament length (1434.29 m) and denier (2.85). FC2 × FC1 excelled in shell weight (0.38 g), shell ratio (22.33 %) and filament weight (0.47 g). Thus, two new bivoltine breeds (B1, B4), foundation crosses (B1 × B4, B1 × B2) and double hybrids (B1 × B4) × (FC1) and (B1 × B4) × (FC1) were considered as promising and heterotic hybrids to be exploited at commercial level after multi location trials. The newly identified breeds and foundation crosses offer promise for silkworm breeding, particularly in developing new double hybrids as alternatives to established CSR breeds and hybrids.

**Keywords:** *Bombyx mori*, bivoltine silkworm, double hybrids, commercial traits, foundation crosses, parental breeds.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Mulberry silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L., is an economically important insect commercially exploited for silk production. In recent years, India has witnessed a notable shift towards rearing bivoltine silkworms, aimed at enhancing silk quality to meet international standards. This transition is driven by the increasing demand for import substitute raw silk, underscoring the significance of bivoltine silkworm breeds and hybrids in the sericulture sector [1]. Despite efforts

by sericultural authorities, the widespread adoption of bivoltine silkworm breeds/hybrids in India has been hindered by several factors. The primary constraints are instability in cocoon yield and limited suitability to diverse climatic conditions. Currently, the Indian sericulture landscape predominantly relies on a few bivoltine hybrids tailored for optimal conditions during favourable seasons. To address these limitations and promote sustainable sericulture practices, there is a pressing need for the development of new silkworm breeds and hybrids conducive to commercial exploitation.

However, the process of breeding new silkworm varieties must navigate the pitfalls associated with inbreeding, which can lead to decreased genetic variance and undesirable traits in subsequent generations. While single hybrids derived from genetically distant parents exhibit hybrid vigour and productivity, double hybrids, combining characteristics from four diverse parental breeds, offer greater stability and financial returns to farmers. This stability is attributed to the polygenic expression of economic traits within the population, ensuring resilience under sub-optimal conditions. Despite the known advantages of double hybrids, the selection of suitable hybrid combinations for commercial exploitation is crucial for success. Therefore, this study aims to identify and evaluate promising bivoltine breeds and hybrids for their performance and suitability for commercialization.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted at the Department of Sericulture, University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bengaluru during 2022-2023. Five thermotolerant bivoltine silkworm breeds *viz.*, B1, B2, B4 (oval cocoon spinning breeds) B6 and B8 (pea nut cocoon spinning breeds) procured from CSRTI, Mysore and four productive CSR parental races (CSR2, CSR27, CSR6 and CSR26) obtained from NSSO were utilized for the preparation of hybrids. Accordingly,  $F_1$ 's of four oval cocoon spinning foundation crosses (FCs) *viz.*, B1 × B2, B1 × B4, B2 × B4 & FC2 (CSR2 × CSR27) and two peanut cocoon spinning FCs *viz.*, B6 × B8 & FC1 (CSR6 × CSR26) were developed. By using six foundation crosses, eight double hybrids were developed *viz.*, (B1 × B2) × (B6 × B8), (B1 × B4) × (B6 × B8), (B2 × B4) × (B6 × B8), (FC2) × (B6 × B8), (B1 × B2) × (FC1), (B1 × B4) × (FC1), (B2 × B4) × (FC1) and FC2 × FC1.

Foundation crosses were developed using oval × oval and dumbbell × dumbbell breeds. These foundation crosses (FCs) were employed in double hybrid preparations (oval × oval) × (dumbbell × dumbbell). Defloshed cocoons were cut at one end to remove the pupae for sex determination, based on morphological characteristics such as markings and size. Pupae from all the pure races and foundation crosses were segregated by sex and preserved in separate trays. To induce simultaneous moth emergence, male pupae were refrigerated on the 7th day of spinning. Male moths emerged before females and were refrigerated for later use. Healthy

females of desired races/hybrids were placed in trays, paired with healthy males and kept undisturbed for copulation. After mating, females were allowed to lay eggs. Mated females were placed on egg sheets to lay eggs for 24 hours in darkness. Egg cards were treated with 2% formaldehyde solution for 15 minutes, washed and dried to remove surface contamination before acid treatment. Hydrochloric acid was heated to 46.1°C and eggs aged 16-20 hours were dipped for 5-6 minutes to break diapause.

The disease free layings of all the pure lines and hybrids were incubated under optimum environmental conditions of 25±1°C temperature and 75±5% relative humidity until 8<sup>th</sup> day, followed by black boxing to achieve uniform hatching. Newly hatched larvae were brushed with freshly chopped mulberry leaves. Silkworm rearing was conducted using a suitable rearing method [2]. During rearing, three feedings with fresh V-1 mulberry leaves were provided to all the worms until the onset of spinning. All the parents and hybrids were reared following a completely randomized design with three replications each, maintaining 200 larvae in each replication after the 3<sup>rd</sup> moult. At the end of the 5<sup>th</sup> instar, the spinning larvae were collected manually and transferred to bamboo mountages. The cocoons were harvested on the 6<sup>th</sup> or 7<sup>th</sup> day of mounting and assessed the following day for commercial parameters viz, Cocoon yield per 10,000 larvae by number and by weight (kg), single cocoon weight (g), single shell weight (g), shell ratio (%) Filament length (m), Filament weight (g) and denier. Using a completely randomized design, the mean data gathered from two rearings was analysed using OP STAT software. Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) was used to compare the mean values of the study [3].

### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The rearing performance of all the parental breeds, foundation crosses and double hybrids is presented in tables 1, 2 and 3 respectively.

#### **3.1. Single cocoon weight (g)**

Significant variation in single cocoon weight was observed in all the pure breeds and their hybrids. Cocoon weight is closely correlated with cocoon yield, significantly impacting the financial return for farmers. It is an important trait, used to determine approximate amount of raw silk that can be reeled. Among the parental breeds, B4 recorded significantly highest cocoon weight of 1.69 g followed by CSR27 (1.67 g). In foundation crosses, B1 × B4 recorded significantly highest cocoon weight (1.81 g) followed by FC2 (1.76 g). In double hybrids, (B1 × B4) × FC1 exhibited significantly highest cocoon weight of 2.67 g followed by (B1 × B2) × FC1 (2.62 g) surpassing the control FC2 × FC1 (2.61 g).

These results are comparable with earlier investigations. [4] recorded highest single cocoon weight in SK28 × SBNP1 (1.61 g) followed by NB4D2 × SH6 (1.59 g). Gowda *et al.*



|                  |                   |                      |                    |                    |                     |                      |                    |                    |
|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| B1 × B2          | 1.63 <sup>a</sup> | 9799.14 <sup>a</sup> | 15.39 <sup>c</sup> | 0.33 <sup>ab</sup> | 21.63 <sup>b</sup>  | 1299.29 <sup>a</sup> | 0.29 <sup>ab</sup> | 2.39 <sup>ab</sup> |
| B1 × B4          | 1.81 <sup>a</sup> | 9766.33 <sup>a</sup> | 17.98 <sup>a</sup> | 0.35 <sup>ab</sup> | 21.98 <sup>ab</sup> | 1319.06 <sup>a</sup> | 0.3 <sup>ab</sup>  | 2.45 <sup>a</sup>  |
| B2 × B4          | 1.35 <sup>b</sup> | 9622.11 <sup>a</sup> | 13.12 <sup>d</sup> | 0.3 <sup>ab</sup>  | 19.37 <sup>d</sup>  | 1193.23 <sup>b</sup> | 0.26 <sup>ab</sup> | 2.17 <sup>c</sup>  |
| FC2 <sup>§</sup> | 1.76 <sup>a</sup> | 9622.83 <sup>a</sup> | 16.97 <sup>b</sup> | 0.38 <sup>a</sup>  | 22.33 <sup>a</sup>  | 1301.16 <sup>a</sup> | 0.33 <sup>a</sup>  | 2.42 <sup>ab</sup> |
| B6 × B8          | 1.3 <sup>b</sup>  | 9544.58 <sup>a</sup> | 11.97 <sup>e</sup> | 0.27 <sup>b</sup>  | 20.27 <sup>c</sup>  | 1159.58 <sup>b</sup> | 0.23 <sup>b</sup>  | 2.24 <sup>bc</sup> |
| FC1 <sup>§</sup> | 1.41 <sup>b</sup> | 9711.21 <sup>a</sup> | 13.67 <sup>d</sup> | 0.31 <sup>ab</sup> | 21.48 <sup>b</sup>  | 1278.8 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.27 <sup>ab</sup> | 2.4 <sup>ab</sup>  |
| F Test           | *                 | NA                   | *                  | *                  | *                   | *                    | *                  | *                  |
| S.Em ±           | 0.058             | 167.622              | 0.241              | 0.03               | 0.207               | 21.008               | 0.023              | 0.061              |
| CD@5%            | 0.182             | NA                   | 0.749              | 0.095              | 0.644               | 65.449               | 0.072              | 0.189              |
| CV (%)           | 1.424             | 3                    | 2.805              | 1.624              | 1.69                | 2.891                | 1.331              | 1.195              |

**Table 2: Performance of foundation crosses for economic traits**

\*- Significant at 5 %; NA - Not analysed; Figures with same superscript are statistically on par

§ - FC1 and FC2 are commercial foundation crosses

Table

3:

| Double hybrids       | Single cocoon weight (g) | Cocoon yield by number (Per 10,000 larvae) | Cocoon yield by weight (Per 10,000 larvae) | Shell weight (g)   | Shell ratio (%)    | Filament length (m)  | Filament weight (g) | Denier            |
|----------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| B1 x B2) x (B6 x B8) | 2.45 <sup>abc</sup>      | 9677.87 <sup>a</sup>                       | 23.64 <sup>c</sup>                         | 0.48 <sup>bc</sup> | 20.08 <sup>b</sup> | 1288.87 <sup>b</sup> | 0.40 <sup>bcd</sup> | 2.78 <sup>b</sup> |

Performance of double hybrids for economic traits

|                       |                     |                      |                     |                     |                    |                      |                      |                    |
|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| (B1 × B2) × (FC1) \$  | 2.62 <sup>a</sup>   | 9844.59 <sup>a</sup> | 25.67 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.57 <sup>abc</sup> | 22.86 <sup>a</sup> | 1422.69 <sup>a</sup> | 0.44 <sup>abc</sup>  | 2.84 <sup>ab</sup> |
| (B1 × B4) × (B6 × B8) | 2.47 <sup>abc</sup> | 9566.91 <sup>a</sup> | 23.97 <sup>bc</sup> | 0.54 <sup>abc</sup> | 21.40 <sup>b</sup> | 1330.51 <sup>b</sup> | 0.43 <sup>abc</sup>  | 2.75 <sup>bc</sup> |
| (B1 × B4) × (FC1) \$  | 2.67 <sup>a</sup>   | 9822.09 <sup>a</sup> | 25.87 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.58 <sup>ab</sup>  | 23.13 <sup>a</sup> | 1434.29 <sup>a</sup> | 0.45 <sup>ab</sup>   | 2.85 <sup>ab</sup> |
| (B2 × B4) × (B6 × B8) | 2.29 <sup>c</sup>   | 9599.27 <sup>a</sup> | 21.64 <sup>d</sup>  | 0.47 <sup>c</sup>   | 20.96 <sup>b</sup> | 1272.38 <sup>b</sup> | 0.36 <sup>d</sup>    | 2.55 <sup>d</sup>  |
| (B2 × B4) × (FC1) \$  | 2.34 <sup>bc</sup>  | 9611.39 <sup>a</sup> | 22.94 <sup>cd</sup> | 0.52 <sup>abc</sup> | 21.23 <sup>b</sup> | 1403.04 <sup>a</sup> | 0.42 <sup>abcd</sup> | 2.65 <sup>cd</sup> |
| (FC2) \$ × (B6 × B8)  | 2.57 <sup>ab</sup>  | 9677.87 <sup>a</sup> | 24.21 <sup>bc</sup> | 0.50 <sup>abc</sup> | 22.83 <sup>a</sup> | 1307.42 <sup>b</sup> | 0.38 <sup>cd</sup>   | 2.76 <sup>bc</sup> |
| FC2 \$ × FC1 \$       | 2.61 <sup>a</sup>   | 9840.59 <sup>a</sup> | 25.19 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.59 <sup>a</sup>   | 23.54 <sup>a</sup> | 1422.01 <sup>a</sup> | 0.47 <sup>a</sup>    | 2.95 <sup>a</sup>  |
| F Test                | *                   | NA                   | *                   | *                   | *                  | *                    | *                    | *                  |
| S.Em ±                | 0.076               | 99.342               | 0.398               | 0.032               | 0.389              | 19.960               | 0.021                | 0.036              |
| CD@5%                 | 0.229               | NA                   | 1.203               | 0.096               | 1.177              | 60.354               | 0.062                | 0.108              |
| CV (%)                | 1.441               | 1.774                | 2.854               | 1.316               | 3.064              | 2.542                | 0.96                 | 2.244              |

\* - Significant at 5 %; NA - Not analysed; Figures with same superscript are statistically on par

\$ - FC1 and FC2 are commercial foundation crosses used as combination with the new bivoltine silkworm foundation crosses

### 3.2 Cocoon yield (number/10,000 larvae)

There is no significant difference observed for cocoon yield by number among parents, foundation crosses and double hybrids. Among the parental breeds, CSR2 recorded highest cocoon yield of 9655.43/10,000 larvae followed by B1 (9654.91/10,000 larvae). In foundation crosses, B1 × B2 recorded highest cocoon yield (9799.14/10,000 larvae) followed by B1 × B4 (9766.33/10,000 larvae). In double hybrids, (B1 × B2) × FC1 exhibited highest cocoon yield of 9844.59 surpassing the control FC2 × FC1 (9840.59/10,000 larvae).

The current findings align with previous studies by [5] who reported the highest cocoon yield in (CSR27 × CSR17) × (D13 × CSR26) (9581.00/10,000 larvae) followed by FC2 × FC1 (9155.00/10,000 larvae). [6] reported highest cocoon yield in CSR4 × CSR2 (9840.00/10,000 larvae) over control FC2 × FC1 (9744.00/10,000 larvae). In the present study, all the parents and hybrids showed comparably better performance in cocoon yield by number per 10,000 larvae. This improved performance can be attributed to optimal rearing conditions and the availability of nutritious mulberry leaves, leading to better survivability and yield.

### 3.3. Cocoon yield (kg/10,000 larvae)

Significant variation in cocoon yield by weight was observed in all the parental breeds and their hybrids. Cocoon yield by weight shows quantum of cocoons obtained after [7]. Among the parental breeds, B4 recorded significantly highest cocoon yield of 16.42 kg/10,000 larvae followed by CSR27 (16.10 kg/10,000 larvae). In foundation crosses, B1 × B4 recorded significantly highest cocoon yield (17.98 kg/10,000 larvae) followed by FC2 (16.97 kg/10,000 larvae). In double hybrids, (B1 × B4) × FC1 exhibited significantly highest cocoon yield of 25.87 kg/10,000 larvae followed by (B1 × B4) × FC1 (25.67 kg/10,000 larvae) surpassing the control FC2 × FC1 (25.19 kg/10,000 larvae).

These findings align with earlier studies by [8] reported highest cocoon yield in Jayachamaraja (20.47 kg/10,000 larvae) over Krishnaraja (19.97 kg/10,000 larvae). [9] and [10] recorded significantly maximum cocoon yield in the double hybrid (CSR2 × CSR27) × (CSR6 × CSR26) (18.40 and 18.84 kg/10,000 larvae, respectively). The difference in the cocoon yield by weight could be attributed to the potential of the breeds and hybrids to adjust to the prevailing environment. In this study, B4, B1 × B4, (B1 × B4) × FC1, (B1 × B2) × FC1 and FC2 × FC1 gave higher cocoon yield by weight, highlighting their dominance over the other hybrids. This is likely due to their improved survival rates, reflecting their genetic potential.

### 3.4. Shell weight (g)

Significant variation in shell weight was observed in parental breeds and their hybrids. Shell weight is crucial for determining silk quality and yield. It impacts filament length, weaving

efficiency and market value of silk products. Among the parental breeds, CSR27 recorded significantly highest shell weight of 0.34 g followed by B4 (0.33 g). In foundation crosses, FC2 recorded significantly highest shell weight (0.38 g) followed by B1× B4 (0.35 g). In double hybrids, FC2 × FC1 exhibited significantly highest shell weight of 0.59 g followed by (B1 × B4) × FC1 (0.58 g).

[11] reported highest shell weight of 0.383 g in SLD1 × SLD3. [12] and [10] reported shell weight of double hybrid (CSR2 × CSR27) × (CSR6 × CSR26) (0.47 and 0.38 g, respectively). In this study, CSR27, B4, B1× B4, FC2, (B1 × B4) × FC1, (B1 × B2) × FC1 and FC2 × FC1 gave higher shell weight, highlighting their dominance over the other hybrids.

### **3.5. Shell ratio (%)**

Significant variation in shell ratio was observed in all the parental breeds and their hybrids. A higher shell ratio indicates a larger proportion of silk, contributing to better silk quality and overall commercial value. Among the parental breeds, CSR27 recorded significantly highest shell ratio of 22.18 per cent followed by B4 (22.14%). In foundation crosses, FC2 recorded significantly highest shell ratio (22.33%) followed by B1× B4 (21.98%). In double hybrids, FC2 × FC1 exhibited significantly highest shell ratio of 23.54 per cent followed by (B1 × B4) × FC1 (23.13%).

These findings are similar to the findings of [13] who observed the maximum shell ratio in DHP5 (21.80%) over rest of the hybrids. [10] reported highest shell ratio in bivoltine double hybrid (CSR2 × CSR27) × (CSR6 × CSR26) (21.34%). Variations in shell ratio among different parental breeds and hybrids arise from genetic disparities inherited from parental lines and environmental factors like rearing conditions, temperature, humidity and feeding practices.

### **3.6. Filament length (m)**

Significant variation in filament length was observed in all the parental breeds and their hybrids. Among the parental breeds, B4 recorded significantly highest filament length of 1347.14 m followed by CSR27 (1320.14m). In foundation crosses, B1× B4 recorded significantly highest filament length (1319.06 m) followed by FC2 (1301.16). In double hybrids, (B1 × B4) × FC1 exhibited significantly highest filament length of 1434.29 m followed by (B1 × B2) × FC1 (1422.69 m) surpassing the control FC2 × FC1 (1422.01 m).

[8] reported that Jayachamaraja recorded longest filament length (1180.00 m) surpassing Krishnaraja (1075.00 m), which reflects the variation seen in the present findings. In the present study, B4, CSR27, B1× B4, FC2, (B1 × B4) × FC1 and (B1 × B2) × FC1 showed favourable filament length, establishing their superiority among the evaluated breeds and hybrids. This may be attributed to the more efficient synthesis of required proteins and their conversion to raw silk.

Superiority in longer filament lengths also arises from genetic factors, favourable traits enhancing silk fibre synthesis and potentially optimized rearing conditions.

### **3.7. Filament weight (g)**

Significant variation in filament weight was observed in all the parental breeds and their hybrids. Filament weight is crucial in sericulture as it directly impacts silk yield, quality and economic value. Among the parental breeds, B4 recorded significantly highest filament weight of 0.31 g followed by CSR27 (0.29 g). In foundation crosses, B1 × B4 recorded significantly highest filament weight (0.33 g) followed by FC2 (0.30 g). In double hybrids, FC2 × FC1 exhibited significantly highest filament weight of 0.47 g followed by (B1 × B4) × FC1 (0.45 g).

Present findings are in conformity with earlier studies by [14] who recorded highest filament weight in CSR16 × CSR17 (0.331 g) followed by DHP5 (0.261 g). [15] recorded highest filament weight in the hybrid, B1 × CSR4 (0.33 g), followed by B4 × CSR4 (0.31 g) where B1 and B4 breeds were used as parents to develop double hybrids in the present study.

### **3.8. Denier**

Significant variation in denier was observed in all the parental breeds and their hybrids. Denier holds significant importance as it quantifies the thickness and quality of silk fibres. It directly influences the market value, durability and versatility of silk products, guiding production processes and consumer preferences. Among the parental breeds, CSR27 recorded significantly highest denier of 2.49 followed by B4 (2.46). In foundation crosses, FC2 recorded significantly highest denier (2.45) followed by B1 × B4 (2.42). In double hybrids, (B1 × B2) × FC1 and (B1 × B4) × FC1 recorded medium sized denier of 2.84 and 2.85 respectively, compared to the thicker denier of FC2 × FC1 (2.95).

[1] recorded denier of 2.80 in CSR12 × CSR6. [5] recorded a denier of 2.63 in FC2 × FC1. [16] recorded denier of 2.96 in a new double hybrid (G11 × G19) under suboptimal conditions. Usually, medium-sized denier values are preferred. In the present study, CSR27, B4, FC2, B1 × B4, (B1 × B4) × FC1 and (B1 × B2) × FC1 demonstrated medium denier value, suggesting finer and higher quality silk fibres that can be obtained from their cocoons compared to other breeds and hybrids in the study.

## **4. CONCLUSION**

In summary, the result of the present study reveals that, out of different breeds and hybrids studied, two each of parental breeds, foundation crosses and double hybrids exhibited better performance with desirable positive heterosis for most of the economic traits, which may be attributed to better inherent advancement and prophecy for field testing based on the overall performance and heterosis for each of the quantitative trait studied. Both foundation crosses and

double hybrids exhibited a higher level of hybrid vigour surpassing the parental breeds. Parental breeds B4, CSR27 and B1 consistently performed well across all evaluated traits, suggesting their suitability as oval parents in hybrid preparation. Similarly, foundation crosses B1 × B4, FC2 and B1 × B2 also demonstrate positive traits across all the traits. Double hybrids (B1 × B4) × (FC1) and (B1 × B2) × (FC1) performed on par with control (FC2 × FC1) and showed promising performance. Consequently, these new double hybrids can be further evaluated in field trials and considered as viable alternatives to the FC2 × FC1 hybrid.

## REFERENCES

1. Datta RK, Pershad GD. Combining ability among multivoltine × bivoltine silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. *Sericologia*. 2002;28: 21-28.
2. Dandin SB, Giridhar K. Silkworm egg production. In: Handbook of Sericulture Technologies. CSB Publications; 2014.
3. Duncan F. Multiple range test and multiple 'F' test. *Biometrics*. 1995;11:1-42.
4. Shabir AB, Malik Farooq IK, Sahaf KA. Studies on the performance of some silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L, hybrids during the summer season in Kashmir. *J. Entomol. Zool. Stud.* 2017;5(5):1346-1348.
5. Gowda V, Kalpana GV, Ashokkumar K. Comparative performance and differential expression of economic parameters in the parents of bivoltine silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. and their hybrids. *Int. J. of Adv. Sci. Res.* 2016; 1:15-20.
6. Dayananda, Sivaprasad V, Nishita NK, Hukkeri SM, Teotia RS. Impact of systematic maintenance of bivoltine parental breeds on the expression of hybrid vigour. *Inno. Farm.* 2019;4(2):83-90.
7. Buhroo ZI, Malik MA, Ganai NA, Kamili AS, Mir AS. Rearing performance of some popular bivoltine silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. breeds during Spring Season. *Adv. Res.* 2017;9(1):1-11.
8. Bindroo BB, Naseema Begum A, Mal Reddy N. New bivoltine silkworm double hybrid, Jayachamaraja (CSR50 × CSR52) × (CSR51 × CSR53) for high egg recovery, crop stability and silk productivity. CSRTI, Mysore, 2014. Tech.Bull. No. 10.
9. Suresh Kumar N, Singh H. Studies on the behaviour of silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. tolerant to high temperature and high humidity conditions of the tropics. *Int.J. Plant Animal Environ. Sci.*,2011;1(3):188-204.
10. Munemanik RM, Latpate CB and Sable GS. Study of the rearing performance of single and double hybrids of silkworm (*Bombyx mori* L.) under Marathwada condition. *J. Entomol. Zool. Stud.* 2018; 6(6):775-777.

11. Rajalakshmi E, Sakthivel N. 2019, Evolution of new foundation crosses of bivoltine silkworm hybrids under semi temperate conditions of Nilgiris. *Inno. Farm.* 2019;4(3):129-135.
12. Gowda V, Kalpana GV, Ashwath SK. Evaluation and identification of potential bivoltine silkworm hybrids of *Bombyx Mori* L. *J. Entomol. Zool. Stud.*2013;1(6): 39-43.
13. Suresh Kumar N, Basavaraja HK, Mal Reddy N, Dandin SB. Effect of high temperature and high humidity on the quantitative traits of parents, foundation crosses, single and double hybrids of bivoltine silkworm. *Int. J. Entomol.* 2003;6(2): 197-202.
14. Bobade BS, Latpate CB, Dake RB. Effect of feeding mulberry variety G-4 on economic traits of bivoltine silkworm (*Bombyx mori* L.) hybrids. *J. Entomol. Zool. Stud.*2019;7(6):289-291.
15. Chandrakala, Manjunath Gowda, Narayanaswamy KC, Amarnatha N. Per se performance of six generation crosses of muscardine resistant thermotolerant bivoltine silkworm breeds of silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. provides a lead for genetic analysis of their resistance to muscardine disease. *Pharma. Innov.* 2022;11(11):1869-1874.
16. Sivaprasad V, Moorthy SM, Chandrakanth N. 2018. TT21 x TT56 - A new bivoltine double hybrid for summer season. CSRTI-Mysore. 2018; Tech. Bull No.29.