

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_120355
Title of the Manuscript:	“Studies on Blend beverage form pomegranate (Punica granatum L.), Aonla (Emblica officinalis Gaertn.) and Aloe vera (Aloe barbadensis Miller)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript can contribute to enhance innovation technologies and nutrition areas	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The article is suitable according to different within it	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. But, the T4 seem like uncompleted or it should be presented differently, according to (The experiments were conducted three replications and the observations were recorded on a monthly basis.)	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Standardization of blends for ready-to-serve beverages (RTS) can not explain scientific characters. So, authors have to include in methodology international standards as references for Chemical attributes and Biochemical changes during storage of prepared products.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	They have to add more references	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	It good as article technology and innovation areas	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahamadou Moussa Keita
Department, University & Country	Rural Polytechnic Institute for Training and Applied Sciences, Mali