

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRIMPS_120944</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ORAL GENTAMICIN SULPHATE NANOEMULSION FOR SYSTEMIC INDICATION: FORMULATION AND EVALUATION</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>																																																			
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.																																																					
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<p>The following are the corrections in the given manuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In formulation quantity taken for gentamicin is not mentioned in the formula.</li> <li>- In method 5 batches are there but in a result, it is showing extra batches in In-vitro activity.</li> <li>- Images/photos should be included for the antibacterial study.</li> <li>- The labeling of Table 2 is at the bottom.</li> </ul>																																																				
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p><b>Table 5: PH and Viscosity Results</b></p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2"></th> <th colspan="5">Room Temperature (33 ± 2 °C)</th> <th colspan="4">Cold Temperature (6 ± 2 °C)</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="10">Days</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>0</th> <th>7</th> <th>14</th> <th>28</th> <th>60</th> <th>7</th> <th>14</th> <th>28</th> <th>60</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>pH</td> <td>6.80±0.10</td> <td>6.70±</td> <td>6.60±0.00</td> <td>6.60±</td> <td>6.60±0.</td> <td>6.70±0.</td> <td>6.70±0</td> <td>6.70±0</td> <td>6.70±</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Room Temperature (33 ± 2 °C)					Cold Temperature (6 ± 2 °C)				Days											0	7	14	28	60	7	14	28	60	pH	6.80±0.10	6.70±	6.60±0.00	6.60±	6.60±0.	6.70±0.	6.70±0	6.70±0	6.70±												
	Room Temperature (33 ± 2 °C)					Cold Temperature (6 ± 2 °C)																																															
	Days																																																				
	0	7	14	28	60	7	14	28	60																																												
pH	6.80±0.10	6.70±	6.60±0.00	6.60±	6.60±0.	6.70±0.	6.70±0	6.70±0	6.70±																																												
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?																																																					
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.																																																					
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.																																																					
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>																																																					
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th colspan="5">Room Temperature (33 ± 2 °C)</th> <th colspan="4">Cold Temperature (6 ± 2 °C)</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th colspan="10">Days</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>0</th> <th>7</th> <th>14</th> <th>28</th> <th>60</th> <th>7</th> <th>14</th> <th>28</th> <th>60</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Relative Viscosity (Pa)</td> <td>0.10</td> <td>.03±</td> <td>.03±</td> <td>.03±</td> <td>.03±</td> <td>.03±</td> <td>.03±</td> <td>.03±</td> <td>.03±</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>.03±0.00</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>0.10</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>0.10</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>0.00</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Room Temperature (33 ± 2 °C)					Cold Temperature (6 ± 2 °C)					Days											0	7	14	28	60	7	14	28	60	Relative Viscosity (Pa)	0.10	.03±	.03±	.03±	.03±	.03±	.03±	.03±	.03±		.03±0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Room Temperature (33 ± 2 °C)					Cold Temperature (6 ± 2 °C)																																															
	Days																																																				
	0	7	14	28	60	7	14	28	60																																												
Relative Viscosity (Pa)	0.10	.03±	.03±	.03±	.03±	.03±	.03±	.03±	.03±																																												
	.03±0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																																												

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nayana Jain</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sinhgad College of Pharmacy, India</b>