

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_121201
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of Online Lecture Process Assessment Indicators
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript somehow shows a significant purpose in an online portal community. However, it is suggested to revise the title to better pick up the purpose of the study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It suggested to improve the title like "Development of Assessment Indicators for an Online Lecture Process".	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is suggested to improve the grammar of the abstract and include other tools in the methodology. It is also suggested to simplify the redundant explanation from the results of the Abstract. The authors may also consider to put some explanation that directs the future studies.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Recommendation and Acknowledgment plays a significant role in a manuscript. So, it is suggested to review this part and include this in the manuscript.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study like assessing the process and not the input and/or output of an online activities is still rare. So, this study has its potential to improve online activities.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Better to use five(5) years back for the references.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is suggested to review the grammar of the article.	
Optional/General comments	The author/s may also mention what application has been used in the assessment of the study.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pilita a. Amahan
Department, University & Country	Occidental Mindoro State College, Philippines