

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_120266
Title of the Manuscript:	Gas Chromatography – Mass Spectrometry Analysis and in silico Antimalarial Activity Studies of Compounds from Leaves Extracts of <i>Mitragyna inermis</i> (Willd.) Kuntze
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The present study deals with wet lab and dry lab study Significance Mitragyna inermis Leaf Extracts in malaria is explained with both models. The docking results are upto the mark. representing is proper way.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	GC-MS Analysis and In Silico Antimalarial Activity of Mitragyna inermis Leaf Extracts	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes the abstract is structured as per the need of journal. Yet few corrections are to be done. More points of in silico study can be added Line spacing should be as per the journal guidelines	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Few grammatical corrections are to be done. The drug name should be in italic form.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. :	Yes but few latest references can be cited.	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Few minor corrections can be done and the paper can be accepted after it.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pawan Nandkishor Karwa
Department, University & Country	Dr. D. Y. Patil Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research, India