

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_121610
Title of the Manuscript:	Antifungal activity of Erigeron floribundus (Asteraceae) and Ripe Banana Peel-NaHCO₃ Extracts on Tomato in South-Kivu/DRC-Albertan Rift in the Great Lakes Region, Central-Africa
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is very significant for the scientific and academic community. Antifungal activity of <i>Erigeron floribundus</i> (Asteraceae) and Ripe Banana Peel-NaHCO ₃ Extracts on Tomato in South-Kivu/DRC-Albertan Rift in the Great Lakes Region, Central-Africa is significantly described in the manuscript. The current research work in the aforesaid manuscript is the need of the hour. The work is very significant for increasing the agricultural productivity and to decrease the dependency on conventional fungicides.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title can be reframed as pinpointed and more specific highlighting the entire research work in the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The word limit of the abstract can be rechecked with the author guidelines and as per the journal requirements and some more Keywords can also be incorporated as per need.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the structure and subsections are appropriate but the subheadings such as Conflict of Interest and Acknowledgements can also be included in the above manuscript.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the manuscript is logically and scientifically structured. The photographs of the study site and results of the research findings must also be incorporated. The manuscript must be read thoroughly to impart scientific and logical end to this research paper.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references are very few, some more recent years specific references can also be incorporated. The supporting references must be relevant to the above-mentioned research work and must be quoted wherever required.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language is reader friendly and suitable for scholarly communications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	NIL	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dhananjay Pandey
Department, University & Country	Govt. Naveen Girls College, India