

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_120807
Title of the Manuscript:	VAPING TRENDS AMONG ADOLESCENTS: UNDERSTANDING THE RISE OF E CIGARETTES IN YOUTH CULTURE
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This article importance for adolescents in this era because they're tend to be curious for something. Social media is one of intermediary media that can influence adolescent behaviour, include smoking behaviour. By results of studies that explain in articles, it can be increase or transfer knowledge for people who read this article. This manuscript review some article from researchers result's study about the effect of e-cigarettes which is no less dangerous than conventional cigarettes from health perspective. So, this article can strengthen the argument regarding the effect of e-cigarettes.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>This title is suitable and attracts the reader's interest in reading the entire text. But, author not explain the aim of this study. So, please adding the purpose of this study to find out the goals of this study. Yes, you can also show the method you used which is implied in the title. For example: "Systematic Literature Review: Vaping Trends among Adolescents: Understanding the Rise of E-Cigarettes in Youth Culture"</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>In the abstract, I can't find component of abstract, such as: the aim of this study, methods, results, and conflict of interest. And also the recommendation for the future. Please explain it in abstract.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The component in this manuscript, there is no results, conflict of interest and acknowledgement in body structure. And also content were presented in the introduction and discussion is not appropriate. Please rearrange the content of introduction and discussion. In introduction, author would explain: first, explaining the problem to be raised. The second, explaining the content in the form of facts, data, phenomena and expert opinions, and the last you could explaining the suggestions or alternative solutions of the problem. In results, author explain the results obtained in research. In discussion explain deeply what the author found/explain in the results.</p>	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript explains studies from several research's results that have been carried out by previous researchers. But, author not explain the methods, so reader can not found the flow of this study. Beside it, you have not make a pathophysiology chart and also effect vapor's chart, but you can explain it in discussions.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. =</p>	<p>There are some references that used in this manuscript are not recently by 5 years ago in articles and 10 years in books. Please check and change the references under 2019 for articles and 2014 for books. May, I mention one article from my research with the title "Difference of Fetal Weight between Conventional vs Electric Smoke Exposure in Mice (Mus Musculus)". You can access in https://thejmch.com/index.php/thejmch/article/view/676#:~:text=Results%3A%20On%20average%2C%20exposure%20to,significant%20(p%20%3C%200.001)</p>	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English language that using in this article suitable for scholarly communications, but there are some abbreviation that not familiar for society communities, such as EC and CDC. May suggest for author can explain the abbreviation in the first mention in your article.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Keywords: please sort alphabetically • Author not using mendeley or the others reference managerial for reference. • Part of introduction and discussion consist of long paragraphs. Suggest: You could separate the paragraph into several paragraph • Justify in each paragraph 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Nurry Ayuningtyas Kusumastuti</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Universitas Yatsi Madani, Indonesia</p>