

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_121247
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of water conservation technologies on growth and yield of green gram pearl millet intercrop in semi-arid Kenya.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Conservation of water is the foremost thing for the countries like Kenya and the objective selected for experiment is highly suitable and the present finding will mitigate the water scarcity issues	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is well written and presented with gist of the findings	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is technically sound highlighting the green grams and pearl millet growth parameters, yield and yield components performed better under water harvesting technologies (ngolo pits) and sole cropping system compared to the intercrop system.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	References are sufficient. Authors are suggested to add very recent references or literatures which adds value the manuscript and improves the quality of journal	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Revision not required. May be accepted	
Optional/General comments	Authors are suggested to add very recent references or literatures which adds value the manuscript and improves the quality of journal	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M.Velmurugan
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India