

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_122098
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Sweet Corn to Nano Urea under Precision Nitrogen Management
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>In a world where pollution is increasingly accentuated, there is also research that brings to light agricultural practices that are friendly to the environment and actively participate in the safety and food security of consumers. The paper summarizes interest, the authors seeking through this research to find the optimal option and the most suitable practice for obtaining a superior growth yield of sweet corn in a sustainable agriculture.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes it does!</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The research has great potential in terms of practical applicability, but I would have liked a slightly different approach to the other works they studied</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Abstract: It is appreciable that you explain the composition of each treatment, but in parentheses also include the abbreviated form (T1....T10), which you should then only use in the text of the paper. • The first two paragraphs of the material and method (mineral content, respectively the main physical parameters of the air of importance in agriculture) can be followed more easily if they were presented in table form. It's just a suggestion. • Taking into account the fact that the work is based on plants, the number of specimens examined is extremely small. I will not make assessments on this aspect. • The tables are accompanied by calculated indices of dispersion, a coefficient of variation that shows that the lots examined show a high degree of homogeneity, but still exists. • In the tables you have passed SEM, which is an indicator of asymmetry to calculate CV, you need SD (Standard deviation). You can pass it in the form of a graph. The tables should be more complete! To have separate columns for each year of study and a column with pooled data! In this way comparisons could be made between the different treatments and the two years! Under the table, put a legend with the meaning of the abbreviations 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hegedus Cristina
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca, Romania