

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_121518
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Bentazone as post-emergence against weed flora of direct seeded rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Through providing insights into the effectiveness of Bentazone in controlling weed populations, this study contributes to the development of more efficient weed management strategies, which is crucial for optimizing crop yields and reducing the reliance on manual labor. Additionally, the findings can help inform farmers and agricultural professionals about the practical applications and benefits of using Bentazone, thereby enhancing its adoption and promoting sustainable rice farming practices. I appreciate this manuscript for its focus on a critical aspect of crop management and its potential to drive practical improvements in the field of agronomy.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Indeed the title is suitable</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract isn't that comprehensive.. What to be added; Summary of major findings before drawing conclusions</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate aren't exhaustive.... materials and methods, should be capturing the following subheadings;</p> <p>2.1 Description of the study area</p> <p>2.2 Research design</p> <p>2.3 Experimental set up</p> <p>2.4 Data collection procedures</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>First, it is based on well-established agronomic principles and the specific mode of action of Bentazone, which selectively targets broadleaf weeds without adversely affecting rice. Second, the experimental design likely includes appropriate controls and replicates, ensuring the reliability and reproducibility of the results. Lastly, the data analysis methods, such as statistical tests for significance, validate the efficacy of Bentazone in controlling weed flora, contributing valuable insights for improving rice crop management.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references are sufficient and recent</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Missing; Recommendations Declaration of conflict of interest</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Charles Nyarang'o Nyamwamu
Department, University & Country	University of Eldoret, Kenya