

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_121465
Title of the Manuscript:	Empowering Particularly Vulnerable Tribal Groups in India: Insights and Strategies.
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript provided more information about the country India with regard to her ethnicity who are particularly vulnerable. This will better inform the scientific community the life and welfare parameters of all tribes or ethnics who are particularly vulnerable in India. Data about the people will remain a reference point to politicians in Indian and to the world at large, to factor in financial and infrastructural budgets that will sustain the lives of these vulnerable tribes.</p> <p>I like the manuscript because it has provided insights and strategies of empowering the particularly vulnerable tribes in India. Likewise, the recommendations made on the topic are globally encouraging to adopt for the empowerment of similarly vulnerable tribes, particularly in the African continent.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title is very suitable</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is more like an introduction to the manuscript than an abstract itself. It does not address the aspects of the objectives of the article, methods and methodologies employed, key findings and recommendations. Authors should rework on the abstract.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are averagely appropriate. Except that the authors has not provided more materials to answer the aspect of the "empowering" in the manuscript. Also, objectives or purpose for the review are not categorically stated anywhere in the manuscript. But this is very important.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>I think that on the overage, the manuscript is technically sound but with scientific issues. Scientifically, the manuscripts provided appropriate literature and used the right scientific language in analysing data. However, the methods and methodologies employed must be clearly defined to guide readers or other researchers. Also, the referencing style is not scientifically sound as the authors could not use a specific referencing style. Additionally, some Figures need detail descriptions of their characters. Technically, there are few grammatical errors and the right concepts are being employed in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references are sufficient and recent except one reference which is too old to cite in the manuscript. This is Subramanyam (1997). However, the references are not consistent in terms of style. There is no any specific referencing style adopted as the authors have used APA, Harvard and others in referencing. Though APA dominates in the referencing, it is at the same time mixed with other styles. Authors must use APA style since all citations in-text followed the APA style.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Authors should provide more descriptions to scales, figures and numbers to some of the figures. For instance, why should some ethnic groups in Figure 2 have the same bar numbers? Any justifications. The manuscript can be considered and published if the referencing style in particular is seriously corrected.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mumuni Zakaria Fusheini
Department, University & Country	Bagabaga College of Education, Ghana