

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_121282</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A study of Amul fresh milk products consumers in Anand city and Vidyanagar</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript covers a a very basic study in small geographical region and does not reflect scientific study relevant for international readership and scientific communities. So it can't be considered as a research paper.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title in not suitable. It should always be the conclusion of study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, ideally the abstracts should reflect the body of manuscript in comprehensive manner. But here, it does not justifies its essence.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientifically, the manuscript lacks the detailed methodology for surveys. The results have not been discussed appropriately. The technique followed has also not be included properly.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are incomplete and websites.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language needs intensive modifications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		
	The manuscript should be submitted in a local or extension based journal for more viewership.	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Atul Kumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>CSK HPKV, India</b>