

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_121835
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Efficacy of Microsponge-based Topical Formulations vs. Traditional Formulations
Type of the Article	Review

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is important as, in it the comparing the efficacy of Microsponge-based formulations to traditional topical formulations, the findings consistently demonstrate the superiority of the former. The clinical implications of these findings are significant. By integrating Microsponge-based formulations into clinical practice, healthcare providers can achieve higher success rates in managing dermatological conditions, enhancing overall patient health and quality of life. These formulations align with a patient-centered approach, emphasizing comfort and adherence to treatment regimens.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is scientifically correct and technically sound as it focuses on the different aspects of Microsponge based topical formulations and traditional formulations. As it focuses on different aspects like Patients using microsponge-based formulations experience fewer and less severe side effects, such as skin irritation and redness, contributing to better tolerability and higher compliance. The controlled release of active ingredients minimizes peak concentrations, reducing the likelihood of adverse effects. In contrast, traditional formulations often lead to inconsistent drug release and higher variability in therapeutic outcomes, along with a greater potential for systemic absorption and cumulative side effects, particularly with long-term use.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes the references are sufficient as latest references are referred.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Paper is acceptable for publication</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shashikant Sudarshan Upadhye
Department, University & Country	Annasaheb Dange College of B. Pharmacy, India