

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_121874</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Enhancing Agricultural Commodity Forecasting: A Median-Based Combination of Time Series Models</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study has importance in scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>Yes</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The author should check the linearity of the dataset.</li> <li>2. The performance of combined mean and SCUM method are in at par. If you see figure 4 the proposed model does capture the actual the data pattern.</li> <li>3. Model adequacy of the fitted model is not checked.</li> <li>4. The discussion section may be improved with the proper justification.</li> <li>5. The conclusion section is too lengthy.</li> <li>6. The parameter of the DOTM model of <math>\theta</math> is very high. What is the reason behind it?</li> <li>7. The author did not mention the lag used for the fitted model. The lag selection process is also missing.</li> <li>8. The author should check ACF and PACF before fitting the ARIMA model fitting.</li> </ol>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	

**Review Form 3**

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>See the attached comment file.</b>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Pankaj Das</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Indian Agricultural Statistics Research Institute, India</b>