

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_120720</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Depression And Chronic Pain: A Literature Review</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research is relevant to the scientific community as it will build on the already available body knowledge to advance the understanding of the association between the two variables. It will also guide the scientific community in enhancing the management of pain in relation to depression in the clinical area. It will further guide the community dealing with mental health issues in relating reconciling the two variables	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The topic is relevant but too short. It looks too general and does not direct the reader to the specific area of study. It should include at least more clarifications on things like group of study, location, or maybe certain conditions like Medical conditions or surgical conditions. It can also be good to specify the age if necessary like among adults or among youths. Try to reconsider the title and specify the group/area of interest	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should be arranged in parts clearly indicating the Background, Aim, Methodology, Results and conclusion I suggest that the title clearly indicates the relevance of the this as it looks too general and non directional.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure are well-highlighted and appropriate	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound?Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I do not think this manuscript is scientifically robust in the manner it is written because it has taken a non-directional approach or rather a general view of the research problem making it less relevant to the clinical area as no group/age/ condition specifically will benefit from the results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	The references are recent and sufficient	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality has to be improved as it is not not very clear	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Virginia Simasiku</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Eden University, Zambia</b>