

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_120929</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Genetic studies of diverse genotypes of blackgram (<i>Vigna mungo</i> (L.) Hepper) in Kymore plateau district of Madhya Pradesh</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is important for the scientific community and is written on a topical topic.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article corresponds to the content.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides comprehensive information about the content of the article.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The article is well structured, but: In the second section, indicate which software was used for statistical data analysis. Specify the coordinates of the experimental field.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The conclusions are well-founded.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The article uses quite new literature.	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	For greater reliability of the data, it is better to use at least a three-fold repetition.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail) No	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Serhii Rieznik</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>State Biotechnological University, Ukraine</b>