

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JABB_118052
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of customized fertilizer application on physiological aspects of chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Fair</p> <p>Fair</p> <p>Fair</p> <p>Fair</p> <p>Good</p> <p>Very poor</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Average</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The concept of the research topic is well-chosen and has potential for significant academic contribution.</p> <p>The article lacks a clearly defined aim. It is essential to explicitly state the aim of the research to provide a clear direction and purpose.</p> <p>The article does not include any statistical analysis details in the materials and methods section.</p> <p>The results section is inadequately discussed with proper literature. A thorough and comprehensive discussion of the results is necessary to interpret the data and draw meaningful conclusions.</p> <p>The tables provided are incomplete and lack essential information. Ensure that all tables are complete and include proper units for clarity and accuracy.</p> <p>The reference section is inadequate, both in number and quality.</p> <p>Language has to be improved.</p> <p>Few corrections were highlighted in the article.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V. N. Meena Devi
Department, University & Country	Noorul Islam Centre for Higher Education, India