

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_120056
Title of the Manuscript:	Comprehensive study of compatibility and performance of grafted brinjal
Type of the Article	Research article

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Yes. The manuscript enlightens on the underexplored aspect of vegetable grafting. However, it has to be prepared properly, addressing the reviewer's comments.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No. Instead of Comprehensive word the title should have something else	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	The abstract was not having the appropriate connect with the conclusion of the manuscript.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No. Restructuring required.	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	It would be much more authentic if the author can mention the source of the rootstocks like this KAVACH and CO2 has been mentioned in the manuscript to be wild rootstocks, but there source cannot be VIVEK TRADERS.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language needs to be improved. There are many grammatical and punctuation mistakes, could have been avoided easily.	
Optional/General comments	There is a serious concern regarding the conduct of experiment as well as its representation. The research article title is misleading. Conclusion is not properly written. Experiment I results have been mentioned under material methods section of the manuscript. The results are highly inconsistent as compared to the other findings on brinjal grafting. Graft healing conditions not mentioned properly.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.8

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Deven Verma
Department, University & Country	Lovely Professional University, India