

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_122042
Title of the Manuscript:	Adapting to Climate Change: Millet Export Strategies for the Future
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is current i.e (2023) and was able to suggest ways of improving India millet exportation so as to generate more external reserve which include diversifying into untapped markets, improving supply chain efficiencies, and enhancing product quality.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Strategies for India's millet export for the future.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive and okay but more than 250 words. Abstract should be reduced to 250 words or not more than 300 words.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Manuscript is not properly arranged according to journal standard. Each section need to be numbered 1.2.3.4 etc. Correspondent author need to remove (:) from materials and methods, results and discussion, and other sections of the manuscript. In text citations are to be numbered according to how they appear. E.g in 2020, FAO and UN Comtrade found out that India produce 41% of the world's millets and generating \$24.95 million in exports, leads the global millet market [1,2]. The manuscript is not backed up by any theory. Include acknowledgement before reference section.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1) Base on it methodology, this manuscript is scientific correct 2) Base on it findings this manuscript is scientific correct but findings should be in line with related empirical literature. 3) The conclusion of the manuscript shows it scientific correctness	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References is sufficient. References should be numbered according to the number given in the in text. Check other article published by this journal and follow same format.	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nathan Udoinyang
Department, University & Country	Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Nigeria