

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Parasitology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_121307
Title of the Manuscript:	Metabolic Changes on Freshwater vector Snail <i>Lymnaea acuminata</i> , due to aqueous extracts of stem bark and leaf of molluscicidal plant <i>Phyllanthus niruri</i> .
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript idea is okay, but there is going to be a major revision before it can be published starting from the title , there are a lot of ambiguities.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Not suitable . what appear in the boby of the write up is not fully represented in the title . so the title needed to be reformatted	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>The same pls Rewrite the abstract ,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.abstract must contain the summary of the research work , which include, introduction , materials and methods, results discussion and conclusion 2. The objectives you have here is different from what you have in the body of your writeup ,pls check 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Your introduction is inadequate, you did not mention the botanical. 1.Note your material amd methods are too old and obsolete, you need to use recent experimental techniques 2. How did you extract the test plant 3. I can only see the solvent used 3. How did you re-constituent the test plant 4, how did you calibrate your extracts <p>At what time of the day , did you collect your extracts</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5, What is the rational of plant used , did you expose the plant without extraction , a lot is not clear <p>There is lot of questions to be answer to make this paper to good one .</p> <p>i.e the plant, you should give us the background information of the plant used. Pls ckeck it out and include it</p>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is okay, but major revision must be done before publishing the manuscript	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference are too old . the author need to finging more recent references	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Fairly okay	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oludare Temitope Osuntokun
Department, University & Country	Adekunle Ajasin University, Nigeria