

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Parasitology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_121307
Title of the Manuscript:	Metabolic Changes on Freshwater vector Snail <i>Lymnaea acuminata</i> , due to aqueous extracts of stem bark and leaf of molluscicidal plant <i>Phyllanthus niruri</i> .
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This study explain a less expensive, easily available, readily dissolve in water and more safe potent source for molluscicidal activity. Hence, this study is important.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>Suggesting a following title Molluscicidal activity of aqueous stem bark and leaf extracts of <i>Phyllanthus on Lymnaea acuminata</i>.</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>In abstract, please include one or two introduction sentences instead of start with the aim.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>There are some missing details in the manuscript (e.g weight and volumes in sample preparation, snail authentication etc) and all are mentioned in the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>There are only three references after 2000 and all other are old one. So please include novel references (e.g. after 2010)</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>There are some errors and marked on the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Give details about plant authentication</p> <p>Also, if you deposit a voucher specimen, give details about it also</p> <p>Give weights and volumes in sample preparation</p> <p>How did you confirm the correct animal species?</p> <p>Cite all figures in a paragraph</p> <p>Keep a space between value and unit</p>	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kalpani Ratnayake</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>CINEC Campus, Sri Lanka</b>