

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_120149
Title of the Manuscript:	ENHANCED BIOGAS PRODUCTION USING ANAEROBIC CO-DIGESTION OF ANIMAL WASTE AND FOOD WASTE- A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Yes, it's an article that tackles a useful topic for the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the proposed article is closely related to the content of the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	The abstract of the proposed article is understandable.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Acceptable.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	The number of bibliographical references is insufficient, as this is a literature review article. I recommend this article: DOI: 10.30919/ese8c507	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.8](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bouraima Safiou
Department, University & Country	Université d'Abomey-Calavi, Bénin