

## Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_120149</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ENHANCED BIOGAS PRODUCTION USING ANAEROBIC CO-DIGESTION OF ANIMAL WASTE AND FOOD WASTE- A REVIEW</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.8

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	<b>Yes, it is. The research emphasizes on how food wastes (mostly kitchen wastes) can be utilized by converting them into useful products such as biogas.</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>Yes, it is suitable.</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>	<b>Yea, it is , although there were minor adjustments to it.</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>Yes, they are.</b>	
<b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	<b>It is scientifically correct in the sense that the author gave relevant instances were these wastes can be used differently other than littering them around.</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>	<b>They are good. I usually suggest that authors use the recent APA Style of referencing (Author, Year in bracket, Title, Journal and Page number). The author should kindly italicize the Journals I have highlighted in yellow.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, but the author has to remove all commas before the conjunction "and". I did a couple of them highlighted in yellow.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I italicized all <i>et.al.</i> , all highlighted in yellow. The author should go through the manuscript thoroughly.	

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Adamma Chinyere E. Ibeaja</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Abia State University, Nigeria</b>