

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JMSRR_120267</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Research progress on mechanical properties of modified epoxy resin reinforced by fillers</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Minireview Article</b>

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>TITLE '2 ENHANCEMENT OF DIFFERENT TYPES OF FILLERS' are targeting on properties or types of fillers, which is not clear in the subsequent sections and paragraphs.</p> <p>Comparison of different types of fillers with matrix should do in proper way.</p> <p>Properties of each composite must be elaborated in proper technical manner. Whole sections just look like a literature only.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>NO, as title mentioned Mechanical property. So, Author must make comparative tabular or other comparative representations of various used fillers into epoxy.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Latest study is absent</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Multiple sentences are brought together makes no sense to its final meaning technically.</p>	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Sorry to say, it doesn't look technically sound and robust article.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. -</p>	<p>More and latest, reference must be gone through for proper study by author</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Make sentences in proper language and Grammarly sound.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Authors must go through review papers to make proper technical papers. In review papers comparative statement are essential body of articles</p>	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Manojkumar Pabbarram Yadav</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Chemical Technology Mumbai, India</b>