

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_121209
Title of the Manuscript:	MANAGEMENT OF RICE CASEWORM (<i>Nymphula depunctalis</i>) IN RICE IN EASTERN REGION OF UTTAR PRADESH
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The chemical management is very much popular in the farming community. The said work has been done accordingly. This will be helpful to manage the insect pest properly. The field work, statistical analysis, data undertaken have been done properly.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes Please include data/ percent value/ B:C value in the parentheses after writing the insecticide name in abstract portion.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The authors did not mention the chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC insecticide for testing against the case worm. How chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC came to calculate the B:C ratio? 2. Please include data/ percent value/ B:C value in the parentheses after writing the insecticide name in abstract portion. 3. Please mention neem oil in ppm or % value. 4. Is case worm attack shoots & fruits? Rice produces shoots & fruits? 5. All the insecticides name should not be written in capital of first word. The percentage value should be written in %. The formulations of insecticides along with their per cent value are not required to mention all the times. 6. Authors have done scheduled spray, not during the reaching of ETL. But they mentioned in Materials & Methods section that sprays were initiated on reaching after the population reached ETL. 7. Same data should not be furnished in table as well as in figure. Please furnish either in table or in figure. 8. Please mention the conversion procedure of the per cent value in the parentheses reflected in the table. 9. Please mention all data regarding selling price of rice, cost of application of insecticide, how the gross income was calculated etc. to calculate B:C ratio. <p>The chemical management is very much popular in the farming community. The said work has been done accordingly. This will be helpful to manage the insect pest properly.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes, but some more recent references required.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments	Minor revisions required.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Some corrections were done. Authors have requested to do the corrections in the reviewed MS.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sitesh Chatterjee
Department, University & Country	Calcutta University, Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda University, India