

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_121209
Title of the Manuscript:	MANAGEMENT OF RICE CASEWORM (<i>Nymphula depunctalis</i>) IN RICE IN EASTERN REGION OF UTTAR PRADESH
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is important for the scientific community. Studied insect pest is serious problem concerning area. Research findings will be valuable for effective pest management.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. It is appropriate to the study topic.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. It is appropriate for the research findings.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. Subsections are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is following scientific style of writing for research findings. Studied insect pest is serious problem concerning area. <u>Section- Materials and Methods must have to mention about research design, their analysis and economic calculation formulas.</u>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	<u>References are not sufficient and too scanty regarding support research findings. Given references are not updated. There are no any references cited between year 2013 to 2021. Sufficient number of appropriate references must be added ad supporting literature for research findings.</u>	
Minor REVISION comments	<u>Revision required.</u>	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language quality of the article may be improved as suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gyan Prakash Morya
Department, University & Country	Vital Biotech Research & Training Institute, India