

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_121195
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Analysis of Major Crops in Jammu division of Union Territory of Jammu and Kashmir
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important as it depicts the probable profitability of cultivation of major crops (wheat, paddy and maize) that may encourage people specially youths to opt for cultivation practices. Utilization of available cultivable land under the major crops will help to contribute to meet the state demand as well as contribution to SGDP. I like the manuscripts as it minutely depicts the costs of utilization of available resources and the profit that can be generated by producing the major crops.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. An Economic analysis of major crops cultivation in Jammu division of Union Territory of Jammu and Kashmir, India	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. One or two lines regarding policy implication can be included.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The Cost of cultivation of the selected crops per unit land holding (whether per hectare, acre etc.) is not mentioned. Crop wise description of the cost components rather than area wise (Jammu, Kathua, Samba) would have been more clear. Though hypothesis was formulated it was not tested and final conclusion was not mentioned. Policy implication of the results to be included in the conclusion part. These corrections will make the manuscript robust and scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Manuscript simply describes the results but their implication may be incorporated and discussed thoroughly in the discussion section.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amvrin Baruah
Department, University & Country	Assam Agricultural University, India