

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_120496
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination Of Antioxidant Activities Of Moringa Oleifera Leaves From Selected Countries
Type of the Article	Research Article

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The leaves of Moringa are used worldwide for nutritional, medicinal and fodder purposes. It has rich source of proteins, vitamins and antioxidants. Its research work is necessary to find out the potential for further drug discovery and and beneficial purposes.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is correct, no any suggestions.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The work undertaken by the author is unique on one of the most valuable and demanded plant by the society. The work on antioxidants is appreciable. Result and conclusion written perfectly and fulfils the objectives.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes, sufficient.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Correct.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Work is good and appreciable.	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Atul N. Wagh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>M.S.G College, India</b>