

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Research Journal of Mathematics</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ARJOM_121833</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>CORRESPONDENCE OF FIXED POINT THEOREM IN <math>T_2, T_3</math> - SPACE</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Please write few sentences explaining your answer	<b>This paper is useful for the mathematical society.</b>	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Title is good.	
Is the abstract of the article is comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Abstract is short. The authors should be added some good sentences to it.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	This paper should be polished in grammatical.	
Please provide your comments regarding appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	The authors are requested to add more details regarding their original contributions in this manuscript.	
Do you think that the references of the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestion, please write here.	The references are not sufficient. Authors should better explain the advantages of the present methods over the other analytical methods. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.padiff.2023.100600">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.padiff.2023.100600</a> ; <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomphys.2020.103598">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomphys.2020.103598</a> <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijleo.2015.11.078">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijleo.2015.11.078</a> ; <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijleo.2016.07.032">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijleo.2016.07.032</a>	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jalil Manafian
Department, University & Country	University of Tabriz, Iran