

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Plant Research Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_APRJ_121799 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Phytochemical testing methodologies and principles for preliminary screening/ qualitative testing |
| Type of the Article | |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The research article is important as a topic, but it requires taking some medicinal plants and their benefits and ways to raise them on the body's immunity and the importance of the components in eliminating some chronic diseases | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | yes | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The summary is good, but it requires adding some information regarding the basic and important components of the plant | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | The article is important to give the importance and benefit of the extracted plant from a therapeutic standpoint | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Some of the amendments that were noted in the article from a scientific standpoint require adding some important aspects to plant extracts in medical treatment. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. - | The sources are good, they need a simple explanation, | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | the language is good | |
| Optional/General comments | The article will be accepted after some modifications, and the topic is not important due to the need except an alternative to chemotherapy or antibiotic treatment because of its side effects on health. Plant therapy is important and effective based on sources and studies | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Name: | Fatma Amer |
| Department, University & Country | Middle Technical University, Iraq |