

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRGA_121725
Title of the Manuscript:	What is the Impact of Endoscopic Ultrasound in the Management of Pancreatic Cystic Tumors?
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

[Review Form 3](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This paper is relevant to the scientific community, as it examines a medical condition with a growing number of cases, for which there is limited high-quality evidence regarding its treatment. Its publication would substantially enhance the current understanding of this topic and could provide a valuable basis for future comparative investigations in analogous patient populations.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title does not fully fit the content described in the text. A more appropriate title would be: Pancreatic Cystic Tumors: data from a 9-year cohort or The Role of Endoscopic Ultrasound in the Management and Diagnosis of Pancreatic Cystic Tumors	

**Review Form 3**

<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The use of abbreviations throughout the text should be consistent, with each abbreviation defined upon first use and then used consistently thereafter.</p> <p>The paper should provide a discussion on the characteristics that distinguish pancreatic pseudotumors from true cystic lesions, or at least outline the criteria used to exclude pseudotumors from the study.</p> <p>The use of 3D charts should be avoided in favor of more standard 2D visualizations.</p> <p>The authors should explain why biochemical analysis was not conducted for all cases in the study.</p> <p>The overall fluency and cohesion of the text could be improved to enhance the readability.</p> <p>Line numbering should be added to the text to facilitate referencing.</p> <p>The paper should include a discussion on the potential clinical impact of the incidental findings reported.</p> <p>The risk of malignancy associated with the cystic lesions examined in the study should be addressed.</p> <p>The abbreviation "EUS-FNA" should be defined the first time it appears in the text.</p> <p>The stated prevalence of serous cystadenomas in the cited reference (16%, not 6%) should be corrected.</p> <p>The authors' own statements and perspectives should be incorporated into the text.</p> <p>The conclusions should be expanded to discuss the clinical implications and future research directions.</p> <p>The introduction should be expanded to provide more background information and context for the study.</p> <p>The methods section should be expanded to clearly describe how the data was collected and the ethical approval process.</p> <p>The authors should specify whether the data was collected prospectively or retrospectively.</p> <p>The authors should address potential sources of bias, such as differences in imaging modalities used or experience levels of the radiologists interpreting the scans.</p> <p>Add conflict of interest, acknowledgments, and funding disclosures if applicable.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>The introduction and methods sections would benefit from further elaboration. Additionally, the graph titles should be adjusted to better reflect their content. Furthermore, the discussion should incorporate the points raised earlier in the review.</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This study's strength lies in its extensive data collection period, which provides valuable descriptive statistics, though lacking formal statistical analysis. The descriptive data nonetheless offer useful points of comparison with other research centers' findings.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>Yes.</p>	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	It needs minor improvement on grammar and fluency.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The subject matter of the study is relevant, and the extended timeframe of the case series, encompassing nine years, is quite compelling. However, the text necessitates significant revisions before it can be considered for publication.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Alexander Tristancho-Baró</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Miguel Servet University Hospital, Spain</b>