

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_121712</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>THE NEED FOR EXERCISE MATERIALS BASED ON RANGE OF MOTION TRAINING FOR SPECIAL EDUCATION TEACHERS IN SPECIAL SCHOOLS</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript is of great importance to the scientific community, particularly in the field of special education, as it addresses a significant gap in instructional materials for teachers working with students with cerebral palsy. By focusing on the development of exercise materials based on range of motion training, it provides a practical resource that can enhance the quality of education and motor skill development for students with physical disabilities. I appreciate this manuscript because it directly aligns with my expertise in special education, offering valuable insights into improving teaching strategies and resources that benefit both students and educators.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>The title of the manuscript, "The Need for Exercise Materials Based on Range of Motion Training for Special Education Teachers in Special Schools," is suitable as it clearly reflects the content and objectives of the research. However, a more concise alternative title could be: "Developing Range of Motion Exercise Materials for Special Education: A Focus on Cerebral Palsy in Makassar, Indonesia."</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract is generally comprehensive, summarizing the study's objectives, methodology, and key findings. However, it could benefit from a brief mention of the specific limitations of the study, such as the small sample size and the need for further research. This addition would provide a more balanced overview of the research's strengths and areas for improvement.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, following a logical flow from introduction to conclusion. The inclusion of a detailed methodology and discussion of results is particularly effective in supporting the study's findings.</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically robust as it employs a well-established Research and Development (RnD) methodology and incorporates both quantitative and qualitative data collection techniques. The use of expert validation and practical testing ensures that the developed materials are both valid and practical for real-world application. The findings are supported by data and are consistent with existing literature on motor skill development in children with cerebral palsy, making the research technically sound.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>The references are sufficient and recent, drawing from relevant studies in special education and motor skill development. However, including additional references that discuss the long-term impact of range of motion exercises on children with cerebral palsy could further strengthen the literature review.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communications. Minor grammatical revisions could improve clarity and readability, but overall, the manuscript is well-written.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Here are some areas that could be improved:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Clarity and Consistency:</b> The manuscript would benefit from clearer language, particularly in the introduction and conclusion sections. The objectives of the study should be stated more succinctly, and the conclusions should more directly relate to the specific findings of the study.</li> <li>2. <b>Methodological Details:</b> While the methodology is sound, the manuscript could provide more detailed descriptions of the data collection process, including the specific instruments used for interviews and observations, as well as the criteria for selecting participants.</li> <li>3. <b>Literature Review:</b> The literature review is adequate but could be expanded to include more recent studies and a broader range of sources. This would help to place the study in a more current context and highlight the novelty of the research.</li> <li>4. <b>Visual Aids:</b> The manuscript mentions the inclusion of illustrations, images, and videos in the instructional materials, but these could be better described or exemplified in the text to give readers a clearer idea of how they are integrated into the material.</li> <li>5. <b>Conclusion and Recommendations:</b> The conclusion should more explicitly link the findings to the recommendations. The recommendations themselves are practical, but they could be more specific regarding the next steps for further research or the dissemination of the developed materials.</li> </ol>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Richel Dapudong</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>San Jose Unified School District, USA</b></p>