

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_121654
Title of the Manuscript:	Educational Leadership and Learners' Academic Achievement in Secondary Schools of Developing Countries: A Critical Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is very important to topic for educational institutions. In this article, three styles are discussed. All are portent but its depend on situations and worker's abilities. The manuscript is useful for academic community. I like the article very much.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is good. Under developing countries used variety of leadership styles at a time. Because of the lake of skill, they need in their department. All in all, the title is suitable for the article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract has three major parts: first, introduction (title, purpose, problem). Second, methodology part must include in the abstract. Lastly, the findings must take place the last part of the abstract. <u>Here, methodology part is missing.</u>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is good but better way for an article is to start from introduction, literature, methodology, findings, then discussion, conclusion and summary.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The researcher must follow the rules and regulation of the research. Follow the format of the article as well as write complete article. All in all, the article is suggested to accept and do a little bit corrections.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The researcher included the valuable references in the article that is enough for the article.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is used very comfortable and easy to understand.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ismail Shinwari
Department, University & Country	Sayed Jamaluddin Afghan University, Afghanistan