

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_121575
Title of the Manuscript:	Librarians' Technology Literacy Skills as Correlate to Use of Electronic Information Resources for Research Activities in University Libraries
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it explores the correlation between librarians' technology literacy skills and their use of electronic information resources for research activities. I appreciate the manuscript's thorough methodology and its focus on practical skills such as online search and Web 2.0 abilities, which are essential for effective information retrieval and collaboration in today's digital age. However, the problems that make this study is necessary are still vague. Also, it could benefit from a broader geographical scope to enhance its generalizability beyond the South-East Nigerian context.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Librarians' Technology Literacy Skills with the Use of Electronic Information Resources for Research Activities in University Libraries: A Correlational Study.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract provided is comprehensive and well-structured, but some corrections need to be made. Please refer comments in the manuscript file.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Subsections for Hypothesis and Literature need re-organization. Please refer comments in the manuscript file.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Overall, the manuscript provides a thorough and methodologically sound examination of the relationship between technology literacy on librarians' use of electronic information resources. However, certain sentences on the finding need adjustment. Please refer comments in the manuscript file.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>References are sufficient however several references are not recent. 2015, 2016, 2018 and 2019 are not recent references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Kindly proofread the article for grammatical errors and sentence structure.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This article is worth the publication; however, minor corrections need to be made according to the comments for publication purposes. Specifically for the problem statement section, Hypothesis part and literature review.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hamidah Md Yusop
Department, University & Country	Arshad Ayub Graduate Business School, Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), Malaysia